USTC-ICTS-16-02

Covariant Quantization of BFNC Super Yang-Mills Theories and Supergauge Invariance

Xu-Dong Wang[1]

Interdisciplinary Center for Theoretical Study

University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, Anhui 230026, People's Republic of China

arXiv:1603.04526v1 [hep-th] 15 Mar 2016

Abstract

To construct renormalizable gauge model in Bosonic-Fermionic noncommutative (BFNC) superspace, we replace the ordinary products of super Yang-Mills model by BFNC star products. To study the renormalization property of the deformed action, we obtain the one-loop 1PI effective action by using background field method at the first order of BFNC parameters. We also verify the BFNC supergauge invariance of the effective action. Because there are new terms in effective action, the deformed action is not renormalizable. This imply that additional terms should be added to the deformed action.

PACS Number(s): 12.60.Jv, 11.30.Pb, 11.10.Nx, 11.10.Gh

Keywords: Super Yang-Mills Theories, Bosonic-Fermionic Noncommutative Superspace, Renormalization

[1]E-mail address: xudwang@ustc.edu.cn

1 Introduction

In the recent years there are many studies in noncommutative spacetime [1, 2]. If we introduce background fields in string theory, the spacetime coordinates will become noncommutative [3]. Because consistent description of string theory need supersymmetry, it is natural to give noncommutative superspace [4–7]. There are some works studying field theory in non-anticommutative (NAC) superspace [8], such as NAC Wess-Zumino model [9–12] and NAC Super Yang-Mills model [13]. The renormalizability constraints the possible form of these model. There are also some interest in constructing field theory in other kind of noncommutative superspace. In Ref. [14, 15], the authors have constructed a renormalizable Wess-Zumino model in Bosonic-Fermionic noncommutative (BFNC) superspace. To construct field theory which have gauge symmetries, in this paper we try to construct super Yang-Mills model in BFNC superspace.

To construct model in noncommutative spacetime, we can start from a model in commutative spacetime and replace the ordinary products by star products, then study the renormalization property of the deformed model. We can obtain the 1PI effective action of the deformed model by using background field method [16] and only keep the divergent part. If there are new terms in the effective action, we could add them to the deformed action and obtain a new action. We continue to study the effective action of the new action until there are not any new terms in the effective action. Because in this method we always do one-loop calculation, the action can only be renormalizable at one-loop level. In order to obtain all order renormalizable action, we can introduce $U(1)$ global symmetries. If we assume the effective action also have these $U(1)$ global symmetries, we can determine all of the divergent operators in the effective action by using dimensional analysis. If we can construct action from these divergent operators, then it will be renormalizable to all order in perturbative theory because it contains all of the divergent terms. It will make the task more easy. This method have been used to construct renormalizable model in NAC and BFNC superspace.

For gauge theories it is not straightforward to construct supergauge invariant action by using the divergent operators. Because the form of gauge transformation was changed after introducing noncommutative star products. To construct renormalizable gauge theory in noncommutative superspace, we can at first calculate the effective action by using background field method. The effective action will be supergauge invariant. By using the effective action we can construct renormalizable gauge theory.

Based on the above consideration, we start from the ordinary super Yang-Mills model in

this paper. By introducing BFNC star product we obtain deformed action. Then we calculate the 1PI effective action by using background field method. We can check that the effective action is invariant under noncommutative supergauge transformation. As we expect, because the noncommutative star product, there are new terms in the effective action. This imply that to construct renormalizable gauge model we should add new terms to the deformed action. The organization of this papers is as follows, in section 2 we define the BFNC superspace and the BNFC star product, in section 3 we review super Yang-Mills model in NAC superspace and the background field method, in section 4 we obtain the effective action of deformed super Yang-Mills in BFNC superspace and verify its supergauge invariance, in section 5 we give our conclusion and outlook.

2 BFNC superspace

BFNC superspace can be defined as truncation of euclidean $\mathcal{N} = (1,1)$ superspace. We introduce nonstandard hermitian conjugation rules for the spinorial variables. The coordinates of $\mathcal{N} = (1,1)$ superspace are $(x^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}},\ \theta^{\alpha},\ \overline{\theta}^{\dot{\alpha}})$. The hermitian conjugation are defined as $(\theta^{\alpha})^* = i\ \theta_{\alpha}, \quad (\overline{\theta}^{\dot{\alpha}})^* = i\ \overline{\theta}_{\dot{\alpha}}, \quad (x^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}})^* = -i\ x_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}$. The BFNC superspace is defined as,

$$\left[x^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}},\ \theta^{\beta}\right] = \frac{i}{2}\ \Lambda^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}\beta}, \tag{1}$$

where $\Lambda^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}\beta}$ denotes a BFNC parameter. The other commutators and anti-commutators that do not appear in the above are vanishing. This algebra is consistent in euclidean signature. The chiral and anti chiral sectors are independent. BFNC star product of two superfields $\mathbf{F}$ and $\mathbf{G}$ is,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{F} * \mathbf{G} \ &= \ \mathbf{F}\ \mathbf{G} - \frac{i}{2}\ \Lambda^{k\alpha}\ (\partial_{\alpha}\mathbf{F})\ (\partial_{k}\mathbf{G}) + (-1)^{|\mathbf{F}|}\ \frac{i}{2}\ \Lambda^{k\alpha}\ (\partial_{k}\mathbf{F})\ (\partial_{\alpha}\mathbf{G}) \\
&+\frac{1}{8}\ \Lambda^{k\alpha}\ \Lambda^{l\beta}\ (\partial_{k}\partial_{l}\mathbf{F})\ (\partial_{\alpha}\partial_{\beta}\mathbf{G}) + \frac{1}{8}\ \Lambda^{k\alpha}\ \Lambda^{l\beta}\ (\partial_{\alpha}\partial_{\beta}\mathbf{F})\ (\partial_{k}\partial_{l}\mathbf{G}) \\
&+(-1)^{|\mathbf{F}|}\ \frac{1}{4}\ \Lambda^{k\alpha}\ \Lambda^{l\beta}\ (\partial_{\beta}\partial_{k}\mathbf{F})\ (\partial_{\alpha}\partial_{l}\mathbf{G}) \\
&-\frac{i}{16}\ \Lambda^{k\alpha}\ \Lambda^{l\beta}\ \Lambda^{m\zeta}\ (\partial_{\alpha}\partial_{l}\partial_{m}\mathbf{F})\ (\partial_{\beta}\partial_{\zeta}\partial_{k}\mathbf{G}) \\
&+(-1)^{|\mathbf{F}|}\ \frac{i}{16}\ \Lambda^{k\alpha}\ \Lambda^{l\beta}\ \Lambda^{m\zeta}\ (\partial_{\alpha}\partial_{\beta}\partial_{m}\mathbf{F})\ (\partial_{\zeta}\partial_{k}\partial_{l}\mathbf{G}) \\
&-\frac{1}{64}\ \Lambda^{k\alpha}\ \Lambda^{l\beta}\ \Lambda^{m\zeta}\ \Lambda^{n\iota}\ (\partial_{\alpha}\partial_{\zeta}\partial_{l}\partial_{n}\mathbf{F})\ (\partial_{\beta}\partial_{\iota}\partial_{k}\partial_{m}\mathbf{G})\,,
\end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

where $\Lambda^{k\beta}\ \partial_{k} = \frac{1}{2}\ \Lambda^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}\beta}\ \partial_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}, \quad |\mathbf{F}|$ is the grade of $\mathbf{F}$ that equals zero for a Bosonic element and one for a Fermionic element.

3 Review of super Yang-Mills model in NAC superspace

In this section we review the work of [13]. They define super Yang-Mills theories in NAC superspace and extend background field method in NAC superspace. In NAC superspace we can define covariant derivatives by using scalar prepotential V, where V is in the adjoint representation of gauge group. The supergauge transformation is defined as,

$$e_*^V \to e_*^{V'} = e_*^{i\,\overline{\Lambda}} * e_*^V * e_*^{-i\,\Lambda}, \tag{3}$$

where Λ and $\overline{\Lambda}$ are chiral and antichiral superfields. In gauge antichiral representation, covariant derivatives is,

$$\overline{\nabla}_A \equiv \left(\overline{\nabla}_\alpha, \quad \overline{\nabla}_{\dot\alpha}, \quad \overline{\nabla}_{\alpha\dot\alpha}\right) = \left(D_\alpha, \quad e_*^V * \overline{D}_{\dot\alpha}\, e_*^{-V}, \quad -i\,\left\{\overline{\nabla}_\alpha,\, \overline{\nabla}_{\dot\alpha}\right\}_*\right), \tag{4}$$

where V is pure imaginary $V^\dagger = -V$. From the definition of covariant derivatives, we can define connections $\overline{\nabla}_A = \overline{D}_A - i\,\overline{\Gamma}_A$, where

$$\overline{\Gamma}_\alpha = 0, \quad \overline{\Gamma}_{\dot\alpha} = i\, e_*^V * \overline{D}_{\dot\alpha}\, e_*^{-V}, \quad \overline{\Gamma}_{\alpha\dot\alpha} = -i\, D_\alpha\, \overline{\Gamma}_{\dot\alpha}. \tag{5}$$

By using covariant derivatives we can define superfield strengths,

$$\widetilde{W}_\alpha = -\frac{1}{2}\left[\overline{\nabla}^{\dot\alpha},\, \overline{\nabla}_{\alpha\dot\alpha}\right]_*, \quad \overline{W}_{\dot\alpha} = -\frac{1}{2}\left[\overline{\nabla}^{\alpha},\, \overline{\nabla}_{\alpha\dot\alpha}\right]_*. \tag{6}$$

By using the Bianchi's identies of covariant derivatives, we can obtain $\overline{\nabla}^\alpha * \widetilde{W}_\alpha + \overline{\nabla}^{\dot\alpha} * \overline{W}_{\dot\alpha} = 0$. The infinitesimal supergauge transformations is,

$$\delta\, \widetilde{W}_\alpha = i\left[\overline{\Lambda},\, \widetilde{W}_\alpha\right]_*, \quad \delta\, \overline{W}_{\dot\alpha} = i\left[\overline{\Lambda},\, \overline{W}_{\dot\alpha}\right]_*. \tag{7}$$

Accroding to background field method,

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_\alpha &= \boldsymbol{\nabla}_\alpha = D_\alpha, \quad \nabla_{\dot\alpha} = e_*^V * \boldsymbol{\nabla}_{\dot\alpha} * e_*^{-V} = e_*^V * e_*^U * \overline{D}_{\dot\alpha}\, e_*^{-U} * e_*^{-V}, \\ \overline{\Phi} &= \overline{\boldsymbol{\Phi}}, \quad \Phi = e_*^V * \boldsymbol{\Phi} * e_*^{-V} = e_*^V * e_*^U * \phi * e_*^{-U} * e_*^{-V}, \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

where U is the background prepotential. Under quantum transformations,

$$\begin{aligned} e_*^V &\to e_*^{i\,\overline{\Lambda}} * e_*^V * e_*^{-i\,\Lambda}, \quad e_*^U \to e_*^U, \\ \nabla_A &\to e_*^{i\,\overline{\Lambda}} * \nabla_A * e_*^{-i\,\overline{\Lambda}}, \quad \boldsymbol{\nabla}_A \to \boldsymbol{\nabla}_A, \\ \boldsymbol{\Phi} &\to e_*^{i\,\Lambda} * \boldsymbol{\Phi} * e_*^{-i\,\Lambda}, \quad \overline{\boldsymbol{\Phi}} \to e_*^{i\,\overline{\Lambda}} * \overline{\boldsymbol{\Phi}} * e_*^{-i\,\overline{\Lambda}}, \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

where $\boldsymbol{\nabla}_\alpha\, \overline{\Lambda} = \boldsymbol{\nabla}_{\dot\alpha}\, \Lambda = 0$. Under background transformations,

$$e_*^V \to e_*^{i\,\overline{\lambda}} * e_*^V * e_*^{-i\,\overline{\lambda}}, \quad e_*^U \to e_*^{i\,\overline{\lambda}} * e_*^U * e_*^{-i\,\lambda},$$

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla_A &\rightarrow e_*^{i\,\overline{\lambda}} * \nabla_A * e_*^{-i\,\overline{\lambda}}, \quad \mathbf{\nabla}_A \rightarrow e_*^{i\,\overline{\lambda}} * \mathbf{\nabla}_A * e_*^{-i\,\overline{\lambda}}, \\ \mathbf{\Phi} &\rightarrow e_*^{i\,\overline{\lambda}} * \mathbf{\Phi} * e_*^{-i\,\overline{\lambda}}, \quad \overline{\mathbf{\Phi}} \rightarrow e_*^{i\,\overline{\lambda}} * \overline{\mathbf{\Phi}} * e_*^{-i\,\overline{\lambda}},\end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

where $\overline{D}_{\dot{\alpha}}\ \lambda = D_\alpha\ \overline{\lambda} = 0$. We can define invariant action under transformation eq. (9) and eq. (10),

$$\begin{aligned}S &= \frac{1}{2g^2}\int d^4x\ d^2\overline{\theta}\ \mathrm{Tr}\left(\overline{W}^{\dot{\alpha}}\ \overline{W}_{\dot{\alpha}}\right) + \int d^4x\ d^4\theta\ \mathrm{Tr}\left(\overline{\Phi} * \Phi\right) \\ &\quad -\frac{1}{2}\ m\int d^4x\ d^2\theta\ \mathrm{Tr}\left(\Phi^2\right) - \frac{1}{2}\ \overline{m}\int d^4x\ d^2\overline{\theta}\ \mathrm{Tr}\left(\overline{\Phi}^2\right).\end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

The gauge–fixing functions is $f = \overline{\mathbf{\nabla}}^2 * V$, $\overline{f} = \mathbf{\nabla}^2 * V$, we obtain the total action $S_{\rm tot} = S + S_{\rm GF} + S_{\rm gh}$, where $S_{\rm gh}$ contains background covariantly chiral and antichiral FP and NK ghost superfields,

$$S_{\rm gh} = \int d^4x\ d^4\theta\ \left[\overline{c}'\ c - c'\ \overline{c} + ... + \overline{b}\ b\right], \tag{12}$$

The pure gauge part of action corresponding to one-loop calculation is,

$$\begin{aligned}S_{\rm inv} + S_{\rm GF} = -\frac{1}{2g^2}\int d^4x\ d^4\theta\ \mathrm{Tr}\Big[&(e_*^V * \overline{\mathbf{\nabla}}^{\dot{\alpha}} * e_*^{-V}) * D^2\ (e_*^V * \overline{\mathbf{\nabla}}_{\dot{\alpha}} * e_*^{-V}) \\ &+ \frac{1}{\alpha}\ V * (\overline{\mathbf{\nabla}}^2\ D^2 + D^2\ \overline{\mathbf{\nabla}}^2) * V\Big].\end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

To deal with action for full (not background) covariantly chiral matter,

$$\begin{aligned}S_{\rm mat} &= \int d^4x\ d^4\theta\ \mathrm{Tr}\left(\overline{\Phi} * \Phi\right) = \int d^4x\ d^4\theta\ \mathrm{Tr}\left(\overline{\mathbf{\Phi}} * e_*^V * \mathbf{\Phi} * \ e_*^{-V}\right) \\ &= \int d^4x\ d^4\theta\ \mathrm{Tr}\Big\{\overline{\mathbf{\Phi}} * \mathbf{\Phi} + \overline{\mathbf{\Phi}} * [V,\ \mathbf{\Phi}]_* + \cdots\Big\},\end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

we can write down a formal effective interaction lagrangian,

$$\overline{S}_0 + \overline{S}_1 + \overline{S}_2 = \int d^4x\ d^2\theta\ \mathrm{Tr}\left\{\overline{\xi}\,(\Box_0 - m\ \overline{m})\,\xi + \frac{1}{2}\ \overline{\xi}\ D^2\left(\overline{\nabla}^2 - \overline{D}^2\right)\xi + \frac{1}{2}\ \overline{\xi}\,(\Box_- - \Box_0)\,\xi\right\}, \tag{15}$$

where ξ , $\overline{\xi}$ are unconstrained quantum fields. In a one-loop diagram, the first vertex must appear once and only once. In order to do calculation in superspace we will expand star product at the end. In momentum superspace, the propagator for quantum gauge superfields is,

$$\langle V^A(1)\ V^B(2)\rangle = -g^2\ \delta^{AB}\ \frac{1}{{p_1}^2}\ \delta^{(8)}\left(\Pi_1 + \Pi_2\right), \tag{16}$$

the vertices are,

$$S_{\rm inv} + S_{\rm GF} \rightarrow \frac{1}{2g^2}\int \mathcal{P}^{ABC}(\pi_2,\ \pi_3)\Big\{V^A(1)\ \overline{\Gamma}^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}B}(2)\ p_{3\alpha\dot{\alpha}}\ V^C(3)$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&+\frac{1}{2}\,V^A(1)\,p_{2\alpha\dot\alpha}\,\overline{\Gamma}^{\alpha\dot\alpha B}(2)\,V^C(3)+i\,V^A(1)\,\overline{W}^{\dot\alpha B}(2)\,\Big(\widetilde{\overline{D}}_{\dot\alpha}\,V^C(3)\Big)\\
&+i\,V^A(1)\,\widetilde{W}^{\alpha B}(2)\,\Big(\widetilde{D}_{\alpha}\,V^C(3)\Big)\Big\}\\
+&\frac{1}{2g^2}\int\mathcal{Q}^{ABCD}(\pi_1,\,\pi_2,\,\pi_3,\,\pi_4)\Big\{\frac{1}{2}\,V^A(1)\,\overline{\Gamma}^{\alpha\dot\alpha B}(2)\,\overline{\Gamma}^C_{\alpha\dot\alpha}(3)\,V^D(4)\\
&+V^A(1)\,\overline{W}^{\dot\alpha B}(2)\,\overline{\Gamma}^C_{\dot\alpha}(3)\,V^D(4)\Big\}. \qquad (17)
\end{aligned}
$$

The propagator for quantum (anti)chiral superfields is,

$$
\langle\overline{\xi}^A(1)\,\xi^B(2)\rangle=\delta^{AB}\,\frac{1}{{p_1}^2+m\,\overline{m}}\,\delta^{(8)}\left(\Pi_1+\Pi_2\right), \qquad (18)
$$

the vertices are,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\overline{S}_1&=\frac{i}{4}\int\mathcal{P}^{ABC}(\pi_1,\,\pi_2)\,\overline{\Gamma}^{\dot\alpha A}(1)\,\big[\,\xi^B(2)\,\widetilde{\overline{D}}_{\dot\alpha}\,\widetilde{D}^2\,\overline{\xi}^C(3)-\widetilde{\overline{D}}_{\dot\alpha}\,\xi^B(2)\,\widetilde{D}^2\,\overline{\xi}^C(3)\,\big],\\
\overline{S}_2&=\frac{1}{4}\int\mathcal{P}^{ABC}(\pi_1,\,\pi_2)\,\big[-p_{2\alpha\dot\alpha}+p_{3\alpha\dot\alpha}\big]\,\overline{\Gamma}^{\alpha\dot\alpha A}(1)\,\xi^B(2)\,\overline{\xi}^C(3),\\
\overline{S}'_2&=\frac{i}{4}\int\mathcal{P}^{ABC}(\pi_1,\,\pi_2)\,\overline{W}^{\dot\alpha A}(1)\,\big[\,\xi^B(2)\,\widetilde{\overline{D}}_{\dot\alpha}\,\overline{\xi}^C(3)-\widetilde{\overline{D}}_{\dot\alpha}\,\xi^B(2)\,\overline{\xi}^C(3)\,\big],\\
\overline{S}'_1&=-\frac{1}{4}\int\mathcal{Q}^{ABCD}(\pi_1,\,\pi_2,\,\pi_3,\,\pi_4)\,\xi^A(1)\,\overline{\Gamma}^{\dot\alpha B}(2)\,\overline{\Gamma}^C_{\dot\alpha}(3)\,\widetilde{D}^2\,\overline{\xi}^D(4),\\
\overline{S}''_2&=-\frac{1}{4}\int\mathcal{Q}^{ABCD}(\pi_1,\,\pi_2,\,\pi_3,\,\pi_4)\,\times\\
&\qquad\qquad\xi^A(1)\,\Big(\overline{\Gamma}^{\dot\alpha B}(2)\,\overline{W}^C_{\dot\alpha}(3)+\overline{W}^{\dot\alpha B}(2)\,\overline{\Gamma}^C_{\dot\alpha}(3)\,\Big)\,\overline{\xi}^D(4),\\
\overline{S}'''_2&=-\frac{1}{4}\int\mathcal{Q}^{ABCD}(\pi_1,\,\pi_2,\,\pi_3,\,\pi_4)\,\xi^A(1)\,\overline{\Gamma}^{\alpha\dot\alpha B}(2)\,\overline{\Gamma}^C_{\alpha\dot\alpha}(3)\,\overline{\xi}^D(4). \qquad (19)
\end{aligned}
$$

4 Effective action of dermored super Yang-Mills action in BFNC superspace

Because the BFNC star product is similar to the NAC star product, we can use the method in section 3 to study the renormalization property of deformed super Yang-Mills action in BFNC superspace. We only need to replace the NAC star product by BFNC star product, this will only change the definition of $\mathcal{P}$ and $\mathcal{Q}$, where $\pi_1\wedge\pi_2=-\frac{i}{2}\left(\Lambda^{k\alpha}\,p_{1k}\,\pi_{2\alpha}-\Lambda^{k\alpha}\,p_{2k}\,\pi_{1\alpha}\right)$. At the first order of BFNC parameters, only the chiral mater action contribute to the effective action and there are at most three points contributions. The effective action is,

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\Gamma_{\rm gauge}}^{(1)}\;=\;&\int d^4x\,d^4\theta\,\Big\{\frac{1}{8}(NS)\,\overline{\Gamma}^{\dot\alpha_1 c_1}\,\overline{W}^{c_1}_{\dot\alpha_1}+\frac{1}{8}\Big(i\sqrt{N}S\Big)\,\Lambda^{\dot\alpha_1}\,\overline{\Gamma}^0_{\dot\alpha_1}\,\overline{WW}^{c_1c_1}\\
&+\frac{1}{4}\Big(i\sqrt{N}S\Big)\,\overline{\theta}^{\dot\alpha_1}\,\overline{\Gamma}^{\dot\alpha_2 0}\,\big(\overline{\Gamma}^{\alpha_3}\big)^{c_1}_{\dot\alpha_1}\,\big(p\Lambda_{\alpha_3}\,\overline{W}^{c_1}_{\dot\alpha_2}\big)\\
&+\frac{1}{12}\Big(-i\sqrt{N}S\Big)\,\overline{\theta}^{\dot\alpha_1}\,\overline{\Gamma}^0_{\dot\alpha_1}\,\Big(p\Lambda^{\alpha_2}\,\overline{\Gamma}^{\dot\alpha_3 c_1}_{\alpha_2}\Big)\,\overline{W}^{c_1}_{\dot\alpha_3}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&+\frac{1}{8}\left(-i\sqrt{N}S\right)\Lambda^{\dot{\alpha}_1}\ \overline{\theta}^{\dot{\alpha}_2}\ \overline{\Gamma}^{\dot{\alpha}_3 0}\left(\partial^{\alpha_4}{}_{\dot{\alpha}_1}\ \overline{\Gamma}^{c_1}_{\alpha_4\dot{\alpha}_2}\right)\ \overline{W}^{c_1}_{\dot{\alpha}_3}\\
&+\frac{1}{8}\left(i\sqrt{N}S\right)\Lambda^{\dot{\alpha}_1}\ \overline{\theta}_{\dot{\alpha}_1}\ \overline{\Gamma}^{\dot{\alpha}_2 0}\left(\partial^{\alpha_3\dot{\alpha}_4}\ \overline{\Gamma}^{c_1}_{\alpha_3\dot{\alpha}_2}\right)\ \overline{W}^{c_1}_{\dot{\alpha}_4}\\
&+\frac{1}{8}\left(-i\sqrt{N}S\right)\Lambda^{\dot{\alpha}_1}\ \overline{\theta}_{\dot{\alpha}_1}\ \overline{\Gamma}^{\dot{\alpha}_2 0}\left(\partial^{\alpha_3}{}_{\dot{\alpha}_2}\ \overline{\Gamma}^{\dot{\alpha}_4 c_1}_{\alpha_3}\right)\ \overline{W}^{c_1}_{\dot{\alpha}_4}\\
&+\frac{1}{8}\left(i\sqrt{N}S\right)\Lambda^{\alpha_1\dot{\alpha}_2\alpha_3}\ \overline{\theta}^{\dot{\alpha}_4}\ \overline{\Gamma}^{\dot{\alpha}_5 0}\ \overline{\Gamma}^{c_1}_{\alpha_1\dot{\alpha}_2}\left(\partial_{\alpha_3\dot{\alpha}_4}\ \overline{W}^{c_1}_{\dot{\alpha}_5}\right)\\
&+\frac{1}{24}\left(i\sqrt{N}S\right)\Lambda^{\alpha_1\dot{\alpha}_2\alpha_3}\ \overline{\theta}^{\dot{\alpha}_4}\ \overline{\Gamma}^{0}_{\dot{\alpha}_2}\left(\partial_{\alpha_1\dot{\alpha}_4}\ \overline{\Gamma}^{\dot{\alpha}_5 c_1}_{\alpha_3}\right)\ \overline{W}^{c_1}_{\dot{\alpha}_5}\\
&+\frac{1}{8}\left(-i\sqrt{N}S\right)\Lambda^{\alpha_1\dot{\alpha}_2\alpha_3}\ \overline{\theta}_{\dot{\alpha}_2}\ \overline{\Gamma}^{\dot{\alpha}_4 0}\left(\partial^{\dot{\alpha}_5}_{\alpha_1}\ \overline{\Gamma}^{c_1}_{\alpha_3\dot{\alpha}_4}\right)\ \overline{W}^{c_1}_{\dot{\alpha}_5}\\
&+\frac{1}{12}\left(i\sqrt{N}S\right)\Lambda^{\alpha_1\dot{\alpha}_2\alpha_3}\ \overline{\theta}_{\dot{\alpha}_2}\ \overline{\Gamma}^{\dot{\alpha}_4 0}\left(\partial_{\alpha_1\dot{\alpha}_4}\ \overline{\Gamma}^{\dot{\alpha}_5 c_1}_{\alpha_3}\right)\ \overline{W}^{c_1}_{\dot{\alpha}_5}\Bigg\}. \qquad (20)
\end{aligned}
$$

We can verify that ${\Gamma_{\text{gauge}}}^{(1)}$ is supergauge invariant.

5 Conclusion and outlook

By replacing the ordinary products of super Yang-Mills model by BFNC star products, we obtain deformed action in BFNC superspace. The renormalization property of the deformed action have been studied by calculating the one-loop 1PI effective action with background field method at the first order of BFNC parameters. The effective action have BFNC supergauge invariance. But there are new terms in the effective action, which make the deformed action not renormalizable. This imply that the deformed action need additional terms to be renormalizable.

This is the first step to construct renormalizable gauge model in Bosonic-Fermionic noncommutative (BFNC) superspace. To find the other terms we can add the effective action to the deformed action, then calculate the effective action of the new action. We should repeat this process for several times until we verify that there are not any new terms in the effective action.

Acknowledgments

The author would like to thank Professors Li-Ming Cao, Dao-Neng Gao, Min-Xin Huang, MingZhe Li, JianXin Lu, Yan-Gang Miao, Zhi-Guang Xiao for helpful discussions.

A Conventions

Gates's conventions:

$$C_{\alpha\beta} = C_{\dot\alpha\dot\beta} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad C^{\alpha\beta} = C^{\dot\alpha\dot\beta} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i \\ -i & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{A1}$$

The index are raised and lowed by C: $\psi^\alpha = C^{\alpha\beta}\ \psi_\beta$, $\psi_\alpha = \psi^\beta\ C_{\beta\alpha}$.

Wess's conventions:

$$\epsilon_{\alpha\beta} = \epsilon_{\dot\alpha\dot\beta} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \epsilon^{\alpha\beta} = \epsilon^{\dot\alpha\dot\beta} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{A2}$$

The index are raised and lowed by ϵ: $\psi^\alpha = \epsilon^{\alpha\beta}\ \psi_\beta$, $\psi_\alpha = \epsilon_{\alpha\beta}\ \psi^\beta$. $\sigma^k{}_{\beta\dot\beta}$ is Pauli matrix,

$$\sigma^0 = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma^1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma^3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{A3}$$

Define: $\overline\sigma^{k\dot\alpha\alpha} = \epsilon^{\dot\alpha\dot\beta}\ \epsilon^{\alpha\beta}\ \sigma^k{}_{\beta\dot\beta}$. We have the following relations: $\sigma^k{}_{\alpha\dot\alpha}\ \overline\sigma_k{}^{\dot\beta\beta} = -2\ \delta_\alpha{}^\beta\ \delta_{\dot\alpha}{}^{\dot\beta}$, $\sigma^k{}_{\alpha\dot\alpha}\ \sigma_{k\beta\dot\beta} = -2\ \epsilon_{\alpha\beta}\ \epsilon_{\dot\alpha\dot\beta}$, $\sigma^k{}_{\alpha\dot\beta}\ \overline\sigma^{l\dot\beta\alpha} = -2\ \eta^{kl}$.

The relation between the convention of Gates's and Wess's,

- $C_{\alpha\beta} = i\ \epsilon_{\alpha\beta}$, $C^{\alpha\beta} = i\ \epsilon^{\alpha\beta}$, $C_{\dot\alpha\dot\beta} = i\ \epsilon_{\dot\alpha\dot\beta}$, $C^{\dot\alpha\dot\beta} = i\ \epsilon^{\dot\alpha\dot\beta}$.
- Definition: $\psi^{\alpha(G)} = \psi^{\alpha(W)}$, $q_k{}^{(G)} = q_k{}^{(W)}$, $\sigma^{k\alpha\dot\beta(G)} = \overline\sigma^{k\dot\beta\alpha(W)}$.
- $\psi_\alpha{}^{(G)} = -i\ \psi_\alpha{}^{(W)}$. Proof: $\psi_\alpha{}^{(G)} = \psi^{\beta(G)}\ C_{\beta\alpha} = i\ \psi^{\beta(W)}\ \epsilon_{\beta\alpha} = -i\ \psi_\alpha{}^{(W)}$.
- Identity: $\sigma^k{}_{\alpha\dot\beta}{}^{(G)} = -\sigma^k{}_{\alpha\dot\beta}{}^{(W)}$.
- Definition: $q^{2(G)} = \frac{1}{2}\ q^{\alpha\dot\beta(G)}\ q_{\alpha\dot\beta}{}^{(G)}$, $q_{\alpha\dot\beta}{}^{(G)} = \sigma^k{}_{\alpha\dot\beta}{}^{(G)}\ q_k{}^{(G)}$, $q^{\alpha\dot\beta(G)} = \sigma^{k\alpha\dot\beta(G)}\ q_k{}^{(G)}$.
- Identity: $q_{\alpha\dot\beta}{}^{(G)} = -\sigma^k{}_{\alpha\dot\beta}{}^{(W)}\ q_k{}^{(W)}$, $q^{\alpha\dot\beta(G)} = \overline\sigma^{k\dot\beta\alpha(W)}\ q_k{}^{(W)}$.

We use the following definition in this paper: $q^{\alpha\dot\beta} = q^{\alpha\dot\beta(G)}$, $q_{\alpha\dot\beta} = q_{\alpha\dot\beta}{}^{(G)}$, $q_k = q_k{}^{(G)}$, $\overline\sigma^{k\dot\beta\alpha} = \overline\sigma^{k\dot\beta\alpha(W)}$, $\sigma^k{}_{\alpha\dot\beta} = \sigma^k{}_{\alpha\dot\beta}{}^{(W)}$.

Identity: $\frac{1}{2}\ q^{\alpha\dot\beta}\ q_{\alpha\dot\beta} = q^k\ q_k$. Proof: $\frac{1}{2}\ q^{\alpha\dot\beta}\ q_{\alpha\dot\beta} = -\frac{1}{2}\ \overline\sigma_k{}^{\dot\beta\alpha}\ q^k\ \sigma^l{}_{\alpha\dot\beta}\ q_l = -\frac{1}{2}\ (-2)\ q^k\ q_l\ \delta_k{}^l = q^k\ q_k$.

B Hermitian conjugation

- The hermitian conjugation $*$ will give -1 if we apply it on spinorial coordinates twice, and will give 1 if we apply it on bosonic coordinates twice. If we define $\left\{\theta^{\alpha},\ \theta^{\beta}\right\} = F^{\alpha\beta}$ then we find: $\{\theta_{\alpha},\ \theta_{\beta}\} = F_{\alpha\beta}$. From $(\theta^{\alpha})^{*} = i\ \theta_{\alpha}$ we have: $(\theta_{\alpha})^{*} = -i\ \theta^{\alpha}$, $\left(F^{\alpha\beta}\right)^{*} = -F_{\alpha\beta}$, $(F_{\alpha\beta})^{*} = -F^{\alpha\beta}$.

  From definition $(A\ B)^{*} = B^{*}\ A^{*}$, $[A,\ B] = A\ B - B\ A$, $\{A,\ B\} = A\ B + B\ A$ we obtain $[A,\ B]^{*} = -\left[A^{*},\ B^{*}\right]$, $\{A,\ B\}^{*} = \{A^{*},\ B^{*}\}$.

- The hermitian conjugation relation for BFNC parameters: $\left(x^{\alpha\dot{\beta}}\right)^{*} = -x_{\alpha\dot{\beta}}$, $(\theta^{\gamma})^{*} = i\ \theta_{\gamma}$, $\left(\Lambda^{\alpha\dot{\beta}\gamma}\right)^{*} = (-i)\ \Lambda_{\alpha\dot{\beta}\gamma}$. Proof: Apply hermitian conjugation on $\left[x^{\alpha\dot{\beta}},\ \theta^{\gamma}\right] = \frac{i}{2}\ \Lambda^{\alpha\dot{\beta}\gamma}$ we obtain $(-1)\left[\left(x^{\alpha\dot{\beta}}\right)^{*},\ (\theta^{\gamma})^{*}\right] = -\frac{i}{2}\ \left(\Lambda^{\alpha\dot{\beta}\gamma}\right)^{*}$, then we have $\left[x_{\alpha\dot{\beta}},\ \theta_{\gamma}\right] = \frac{i}{2}\ \Lambda_{\alpha\dot{\beta}\gamma}$.

C Transform from configuration superspace to momentum superspace

- $\pi_{\alpha} \to i\ \partial_{\alpha}$, $\overline{\pi}_{\dot{\alpha}} \to i\ \overline{\partial}_{\dot{\alpha}}$, $p_{\alpha\dot{\beta}} \to i\ \partial_{\alpha\dot{\beta}}$, $p_{k} \to i\ \partial_{k}$, $p_{k} \to \frac{i}{2}\ \overline{\sigma}_{k}{}^{\dot{\beta}\alpha}\ \partial_{\alpha\dot{\beta}}$, $\Lambda p^{\alpha} \to i\ \Lambda^{k\alpha}\ \partial_{k}$, $\Lambda p^{\alpha} \to \frac{i}{2}\ \Lambda^{k\alpha}\ \overline{\sigma}_{k}{}^{\dot{\beta}\gamma}\ \partial_{\gamma\dot{\beta}}$, $\Lambda p_{\alpha} \to \Lambda^{k}{}_{\alpha}\ \partial_{k}$.

- $\Lambda p^{\alpha} \to i\ p\Lambda^{\alpha}$, $\Lambda p_{\alpha} \to i\ p\Lambda_{\alpha}$, $\Lambda p^{2} \to -p\Lambda^{2}$. Proof: $\Lambda p^{\alpha} = \Lambda^{k\alpha}\ p_{k} \to \Lambda^{k\alpha}\ i\ \partial_{k} = i\ p\Lambda^{\alpha}$, $\Lambda p_{\alpha} = (-i)\ \Lambda^{k}{}_{\alpha}\ p_{k} \to (-i)\ \Lambda^{k}{}_{\alpha}\ (i)\ \partial_{k} = i\ p\Lambda_{\alpha}$, $\Lambda p^{2} = \frac{1}{2}\ \Lambda p^{\alpha}\ \Lambda p_{\alpha} \to \frac{1}{2}\ i\ p\Lambda^{\alpha}\ i\ p\Lambda_{\alpha} = -p\Lambda^{2}$.

- Definition: $\widetilde{D}^{2} = -\pi^{2} - \pi^{\alpha}\ \overline{\eth}^{\dot{\beta}}\ p_{\alpha\dot{\beta}} - \overline{\eth}^{2}\ p^{2}$.

- $\overline{D}_{\dot{\alpha}} \to -i\ \overline{\pi}_{\dot{\alpha}}$. Proof: $\overline{D}_{\dot{\alpha}} = \overline{\partial}_{\dot{\alpha}}$, $\overline{\partial}_{\dot{\alpha}} \to -i\ \overline{\pi}_{\dot{\alpha}}$.

- Expand $\tilde{D}^{2}$, $\tilde{D}^{2}\left(\Pi_{a_1}\right)\ \delta\left(\Pi_{a_1} + \Pi_{a_2}\right) = \int \tilde{D}^{2}\left(\Pi_{a_1},\ Z_{b_1}\right)\ e^{i\left(\Pi_{a_1} + \Pi_{a_2}\right)Z_{b_1}}\ dZ_{b_1}$,
  where $\tilde{D}^{2}\left(\Pi_{a},\ Z_{b}\right) = -\pi^{2}\left(\Pi_{a}\right) - i\ \pi^{\alpha}\left(\Pi_{a}\right)\ \overline{\theta}^{\dot{\beta}}\ p_{\alpha\dot{\beta}}\left(\Pi_{a}\right) + \overline{\theta}^{2}\ p^{2}\left(\Pi_{a}\right)$.

D Lie algebra structure constant

Definition: $F^{B}{}_{AC} = f^{ABC}$, $D^{B}{}_{AC} = d^{ABC}$.

We have the following relations,

- Transpose: $\mathrm{t}\left(F^{A}\right) = -F^{A}$, $\mathrm{t}\left(D^{A}\right) = D^{A}$.

- Trace: $\mathrm{tr}\ (X\ Y) = \mathrm{tr}\ (Y\ X)$.

- Evaluate trace of F and D,

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{tr } F^{A_1} D^{A_2} D^{A_3} &= \frac{N}{2\sqrt{2}}\ f^{A_1A_2A_3}\ c_{A_1}, \quad \text{tr } F^{A_1} F^{A_2} F^{A_3} = -\frac{N}{2\sqrt{2}}\ f^{A_1A_2A_3}\ c_{A_3}, \\
\text{tr } F^{A_1} F^{A_2} D^{A_3} &= -\frac{N}{2\sqrt{2}}\ d^{A_1A_2A_3}\ c_{A_1} - \frac{N}{2\sqrt{2}}\ d^{A_1A_2A_3}\ c_{A_2} + \frac{N}{2\sqrt{2}}\ d^{A_1A_2A_3}\ c_{A_3}, \\
\text{tr } D^{A_1} D^{A_2} D^{A_3} &= -\frac{N}{2\sqrt{2}}\ d^{A_1A_2A_3}\ c_{A_1} - \frac{N}{2\sqrt{2}}\ d^{A_1A_2A_3}\ c_{A_2} + \frac{N}{2\sqrt{2}}\ d^{A_1A_2A_3}\ c_{A_3} \\
&\quad + \frac{N}{\sqrt{2}}\ d^{A_1A_2A_3}\ d_{A_3}. \qquad \text{(A4)}
\end{aligned}$$

- $N\ \text{Tr}\,(\Gamma\, W) - \text{Tr}\,(\Gamma)\ \text{Tr}\,(W) = N\,\Gamma^a\, W^a$. Proof: $N\ \text{Tr}\,(\Gamma\, W) = N\,\Gamma^A\, W^B\ \text{Tr}\ \left(T^A\ T^B\right) = N\ \Gamma^A\ W^B\ \delta^{AB} = N\ \Gamma^A\ W^A = N\ (\Gamma^a\ W^a + \Gamma^0\ W^0)$,
$\text{Tr}\,(\Gamma)\ \text{Tr}\,(W) = \text{Tr}\ \left(\Gamma^A\ T^A\right)\ \text{Tr}\ \left(W^B\ T^B\right) = \Gamma^A\ W^B\ \text{Tr}\ \left(T^A\right)\ \text{Tr}\ \left(T^B\right)$
$= \Gamma^A\ W^B\ \sqrt{N}\ \delta^{0A}\ \sqrt{N}\ \delta^{0B} = N\ \Gamma^A\ W^B\ \delta^{0A}\ \delta^{0B} = N\ \Gamma^0\ W^0$.

E PQ factor

There are noncommutative parameters $\Lambda^{k\alpha}$ in $P\ Q$ factors, at the first order of noncommutative parameters, we only need to expand $P\ Q$ to the first order of $\Lambda^{k\alpha}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
P^{A_1A_2A_3}(x,y) &= i\ f^{A_1A_2A_3} - \frac{i}{2}\ d^{A_1A_2A_3}\ \Lambda p^{\alpha_1}(x)\ \pi_{\alpha_1}(y) + \frac{i}{2}\ d^{A_1A_2A_3}\ \Lambda p^{\alpha_1}(y)\ \pi_{\alpha_1}(x), \\
Q^{A_1A_2A_3A_4}(w,x,y,z) &= -f^{A_1A_2A_{01}}\ f^{A_3A_4A_{01}} + \frac{1}{2}\ d^{A_{01}A_1A_2}\ f^{A_3A_4A_{01}}\ \Lambda p^{\alpha_1}(w)\ \pi_{\alpha_1}(x) \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2}\ d^{A_{01}A_1A_2}\ f^{A_3A_4A_{01}}\ \Lambda p^{\alpha_1}(x)\ \pi_{\alpha_1}(w) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2}\ d^{A_{01}A_3A_4}\ f^{A_1A_2A_{01}}\ \Lambda p^{\alpha_1}(y)\ \pi_{\alpha_1}(z) \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2}\ d^{A_{01}A_3A_4}\ f^{A_1A_2A_{01}}\ \Lambda p^{\alpha_1}(z)\ \pi_{\alpha_1}(y). \qquad \text{(A5)}
\end{aligned}$$

F Algebraic relations related to σ

Definition: $\Lambda p^\alpha = \Lambda^{k\alpha}\ p_k$, $p_{\alpha\dot\beta} = -\sigma^k{}_{\alpha\dot\beta}\ p_k$, $x^{\alpha\dot\alpha} = \frac{1}{2}\ \sigma_k{}^{\alpha\dot\alpha}\ x^k$, $\partial_{\alpha\dot\alpha}\ x^{\beta\dot\beta} = \delta_\alpha{}^\beta\ \delta_{\dot\alpha}{}^{\dot\beta}$, $\Lambda^{kl} = \Lambda^{k\alpha}\ \Lambda^l{}_\alpha$, $\Lambda^{\alpha\dot\beta\gamma} = \Lambda^{k\gamma}\ \overline{\sigma}_k{}^{\dot\beta\alpha}$, $\Lambda_{\alpha\dot\beta}{}^\gamma = \Lambda^{\rho\dot\zeta\gamma}\ C_{\rho\alpha}\ C_{\dot\zeta\dot\beta}$, $p\Lambda^\alpha = \Lambda^{k\alpha}\ \partial_k$, $p\Lambda_\alpha = p\Lambda^\beta\ C_{\beta\alpha} = (-i)\ \Lambda^k{}_\alpha\ \partial_k$, $\Lambda^{\alpha\dot\beta}{}_\alpha = \Lambda^{\dot\beta}$, $\Lambda^\alpha{}_{\dot\beta\alpha} = \Lambda_{\dot\beta}$.

We can prove the following identities.

- $p_k = \frac{1}{2}\ \overline{\sigma}_k{}^{\dot\beta\alpha}\ p_{\alpha\dot\beta}$. Proof: $\overline{\sigma}_l{}^{\dot\beta\alpha}\ p_{\alpha\dot\beta} = -\overline{\sigma}_l{}^{\dot\beta\alpha}\ \sigma^k{}_{\alpha\dot\beta}\ p_k = (-1)(-2)\ \delta^k{}_l\ p_k = 2\ p_k$.

- $p^{\alpha\dot\beta} = \overline{\sigma}^{k\dot\beta\alpha}\ p_k$. Proof: $p^{\alpha\dot\beta} = C^{\alpha\gamma}\ C^{\dot\beta\dot\rho}\ p_{\gamma\dot\rho} = i\ \epsilon^{\alpha\gamma}\ i\ \epsilon^{\dot\beta\dot\rho}\ (-1)\ \sigma^k{}_{\gamma\dot\rho}\ p_k = \overline{\sigma}^{k\dot\beta\alpha}\ p_k$. We have used: $\overline{\sigma}^{m\dot\alpha\alpha} = \epsilon^{\dot\alpha\dot\beta}\ \epsilon^{\alpha\beta}\ \sigma^m{}_{\beta\dot\beta}$, $C^{\alpha\beta} = i\ \epsilon^{\alpha\beta}$, $\psi^\alpha = C^{\alpha\beta}\ \psi_\beta$.

- $p_{\alpha\dot{\beta}}\ x^{\alpha\dot{\beta}} = p_k\ x^k$, We have used: $\sigma^k{}_{\alpha\dot{\beta}}\ \overline{\sigma}^{l\dot{\beta}\alpha} = (-2)\ \eta^{kl}$.

- $\Lambda p_\alpha = (-i)\ \Lambda^k{}_\alpha\ p_k$. Proof: $\Lambda p_\alpha = \Lambda p^\beta\ C_{\beta\alpha} = \Lambda^{k\beta}\ p_k\ i\ \epsilon_{\beta\alpha} = (-i)\ \Lambda^k{}_\alpha\ p_k$, We have used: $\Lambda^k{}_\alpha = \epsilon_{\alpha\beta}\ \Lambda^{k\beta}$.

- $\partial_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} = -\ \sigma^k{}_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}\ \partial_k$, $\partial_k = \frac{1}{2}\ \overline{\sigma}_k{}^{\dot{\beta}\alpha}\ \partial_{\alpha\dot{\beta}}$. Proof: Assume $\partial_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} = a\ \sigma^k{}_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}\ \partial_k$, apply $\partial_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}$ on $x^{\beta\dot{\beta}}$ we obtain $\partial_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}\ x^{\beta\dot{\beta}} = \frac{1}{2}\ \overline{\sigma}_k{}^{\dot{\beta}\beta}\ \partial_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}\ x^k = \frac{1}{2}\ a\ \overline{\sigma}_k{}^{\dot{\beta}\beta}\ \sigma^l{}_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}\ \partial_l\ x^k = \frac{1}{2}\ a\ \overline{\sigma}_k{}^{\dot{\beta}\beta}\ \sigma^k{}_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} = \frac{1}{2}\ a\ (-2)\ \delta_\alpha{}^\beta\ \delta_{\dot{\alpha}}{}^{\dot{\beta}}$, so we have $a = -1$. We have used: $\partial_k\ x^l = \delta_k{}^l$.

- $\Lambda p^2 = \frac{1}{2}\ \Lambda p^\alpha\ \Lambda p_\alpha = -\frac{i}{2}\ \Lambda^{kl}\ p_k\ p_l$.

- $\Lambda^{\alpha_1\dot{\alpha}_2\alpha_3\dot{\alpha}_4} = \Lambda_{kl}\ \overline{\sigma}^{k\dot{\alpha}_2\alpha_1}\ \overline{\sigma}^{l\dot{\alpha}_4\alpha_3}$. Proof: From definition $\Lambda^{\alpha_1\dot{\alpha}_2\alpha_3\dot{\alpha}_4} = C^{\alpha_1\beta_1}\ C^{\dot{\alpha}_2\dot{\beta}_2}\ C^{\alpha_3\beta_3}\ C^{\dot{\alpha}_4\dot{\beta}_4}\ \Lambda_{\beta_1\dot{\beta}_2\beta_3\dot{\beta}_4}$, By using: $C^{\alpha\beta} = i\ \epsilon^{\alpha\beta}$, $\Lambda_{\beta_1\dot{\beta}_2\beta_3\dot{\beta}_4} = \Lambda_{kl}\ \sigma^k{}_{\beta_1\dot{\beta}_2}\ \sigma^l{}_{\beta_3\dot{\beta}_4}$.

- $\Lambda_{\alpha\dot{\beta}}{}^\gamma = -\Lambda^{k\gamma}\ \sigma_{k\alpha\dot{\beta}}$.

- $\Lambda^{\alpha\dot{\beta}\gamma}\ \partial_{\alpha\dot{\beta}} = 2\ p\Lambda^\gamma = 2\ \Lambda^{k\gamma}\ \partial_k$. Proof: $\Lambda^{\alpha\dot{\beta}\gamma}\ \partial_{\alpha\dot{\beta}} = \Lambda^{k\gamma}\ \overline{\sigma}_k{}^{\dot{\beta}\alpha}\ (-1)\ \sigma^l{}_{\alpha\dot{\beta}}\ \partial_l = (-1)(-2)\ \Lambda^{k\gamma}\ \delta_k{}^l\ \partial_l = 2\ \Lambda^{k\gamma}\ \partial_k = 2\ p\Lambda^\gamma$.

- $C^{\alpha_1\alpha_2}\ \partial_{\alpha_1\dot{\beta}_1}\ \partial_{\alpha_2\dot{\beta}_2} = \frac{1}{2}\ C_{\dot{\beta}_1\dot{\beta}_2}\ \partial^{\gamma\dot{\rho}}\ \partial_{\gamma\dot{\rho}} = C_{\dot{\beta}_1\dot{\beta}_2}\ \Box$.

- $\Lambda_{\alpha\dot{\beta}\gamma} = i\ \Lambda^k{}_\gamma\ \sigma_{k\alpha\dot{\beta}}$.

- $C^{\alpha_1\alpha_2}\ \Lambda_{\alpha_1\dot{\beta}_1\alpha_2\dot{\beta}_2} = \Lambda^2\ C_{\dot{\beta}_1\dot{\beta}_2}$, $\Lambda^2 = \Lambda^{kl}\ \eta_{kl}$. Proof: $\Lambda_{\alpha_1\dot{\beta}_1\alpha_2\dot{\beta}_2} = \Lambda_{kl}\ \sigma^k{}_{\alpha_1\dot{\beta}_1}\ \sigma^l{}_{\alpha_2\dot{\beta}_2}$, $\epsilon^{\alpha_1\alpha_2}\ \Lambda_{kl}\ \sigma^k{}_{\alpha_1\dot{\beta}_1}\ \sigma^l{}_{\alpha_2\dot{\beta}_2} = \epsilon_{\dot{\beta}_1\dot{\beta}_2}\ \Lambda^2$, $C^{\alpha_1\alpha_2} = i\ \epsilon^{\alpha_1\alpha_2}$, $C_{\dot{\beta}_1\dot{\beta}_2} = i\ \epsilon_{\dot{\beta}_1\dot{\beta}_2}$.

G D algebraic relations

Definition: $\partial_\alpha = D_\alpha - i\ \overline{\theta}^{\dot{\alpha}}\ \partial_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}$.

We have the following identities:

- $\partial_\alpha = D_\alpha + i\ \sigma^k{}_{\alpha\dot{\beta}}\ \overline{\theta}^{\dot{\beta}}\ \partial_k$.

- $\int d^4x\ d^4\theta\ d^{c_1c_2c_3}\ \overline{\Gamma}^{\dot{\alpha}_1c_1}\ \overline{W}^{\dot{\alpha}_2c_2}\ \overline{W}^{\dot{\alpha}_3c_3} = 0$.

- $D^2\overline{D}_{\dot{\alpha}}\overline{W}^c_{\dot{\beta}} = 0$, $D_\alpha\overline{D}_{\dot{\beta}}\overline{W}^c_{\dot{\gamma}} = i\ \partial_{\alpha\dot{\beta}}\overline{W}^c_{\dot{\gamma}}$, We have used: $\left\{D_\alpha, \overline{D}_{\dot{\beta}}\right\} = i\ \partial_{\alpha\dot{\beta}}$.

H Supergauge transformation

Definition of supergauge transformation : $\overline{\nabla}_A \to e_*^{i\overline{\Lambda}} * \overline{\nabla}_A * e_*^{-i\overline{\Lambda}}$, where $D_\alpha \overline{\Lambda} = 0$.

We have the infinitesimal supergauge transformation: $\delta\,\overline{\Gamma}_{\dot{\alpha}} = \overline{D}_{\dot{\alpha}}\overline{\Lambda} + i\,\left[\,\overline{\Lambda},\ \overline{\Gamma}_{\dot{\alpha}}\,\right]_*$, $\delta\,\overline{\Gamma}_{\alpha\dot{\beta}} = \partial_{\alpha\dot{\beta}}\overline{\Lambda} + i\,\left[\,\overline{\Lambda},\ \overline{\Gamma}_{\alpha\dot{\beta}}\,\right]_*$, $\delta\,\overline{W}_{\dot{\alpha}} = i\,\left[\,\overline{\Lambda},\ \overline{W}_{\dot{\alpha}}\,\right]_*$. We have used: $\overline{\nabla}_{\dot{\alpha}} = \overline{D}_{\dot{\alpha}} - i\,\overline{\Gamma}_{\dot{\alpha}}$, $e^X\,Y\,e^{-X} = Y + [X,\ Y] + O^2(X)$.

I Noncommutative spacetime

- Definition: $\left[x^k,\ \theta^\alpha\right] = i\,\Lambda^{k\alpha}$.
- We have the relation: $\left[x^{\alpha\dot{\beta}},\ \theta^\gamma\right] = \frac{i}{2}\,\Lambda^{\alpha\dot{\beta}\gamma}$. Proof: By using $\left[x^k,\ \theta^\gamma\right] = i\,\Lambda^{k\alpha}$, we have $\frac{1}{2}\,\sigma_k{}^{\alpha\dot{\beta}}\,\left[x^k,\ \theta^\gamma\right] = \frac{i}{2}\,\sigma_k{}^{\alpha\dot{\beta}}\,\Lambda^{k\alpha}$, then we have $\left[x^{\alpha\dot{\beta}},\ \theta^\gamma\right] = \frac{i}{2}\,\Lambda^{\alpha\dot{\beta}\gamma}$.

J BFNC star product

The star product corresponding to $\left[x^k,\ \theta^\alpha\right] = i\,\Lambda^{k\alpha}$ is:
$F * G = \mu\left\{\exp\left[\frac{i}{2}\,\Lambda^{k\alpha}\,(\partial_k \otimes \partial_\alpha - \partial_\alpha \otimes \partial_k)\right] \triangleright (F \otimes G)\right\}$. At first order of $\Lambda^{k\alpha}$:

$$\begin{aligned} F * G &= F\,G + (-1)^{|F|}\,\frac{i}{2}\,\Lambda^{k\alpha}\,\,(\partial_k F)\,\,(\partial_\alpha G) - \frac{i}{2}\,\Lambda^{k\alpha}\,\,(\partial_\alpha F)\,\,(\partial_k G) \\ &= F\,G + (-1)^{|F|}\,\frac{i}{4}\,\Lambda^{\beta\dot{\gamma}\alpha}\,\,(\partial_{\beta\dot{\gamma}} F)\,\,(\partial_\alpha G) - \frac{i}{4}\,\Lambda^{\beta\dot{\gamma}\alpha}\,\,(\partial_\alpha F)\,\,(\partial_{\beta\dot{\gamma}} G) \\ &= F\,G + (-1)^{|F|}\,\frac{i}{2}\,\,(p\Lambda^\alpha F)\,\,(\partial_\alpha G) + (-1)^{|F|}\,\frac{i}{2}\,\,(\partial_\alpha F)\,\,(p\Lambda^\alpha G) \end{aligned} \tag{A6}$$

By using BFNC star product we have,

- $x^k * \theta^\alpha - \theta^\alpha * x^k = i\,\Lambda^{k\alpha}$. Proof: By using $p\Lambda^\alpha\,x^k = \Lambda^{k\alpha}$ we have $x^k * \theta^\alpha = x^k\,\theta^\alpha + \frac{i}{2}\,\Lambda^{k\alpha}$ and $\theta^\alpha * x^k = \theta^\alpha\,x^k - \frac{i}{2}\,\Lambda^{k\alpha}$, then we have $x^k * \theta^\alpha - \theta^\alpha * x^k = i\,\Lambda^{k\alpha}$.
- $e^{-i\,\Pi_1\,Z} * e^{-i\,\Pi_2\,Z} = e^{\Pi_1\,\wedge\,\Pi_2}\,e^{-i\,\Pi_1\,Z}\,e^{-i\,\Pi_2\,Z}$,
  where $\Pi_1 \wedge \Pi_2 = -\frac{i}{2}\,\left(\Lambda^{k\alpha}\,p_{1k}\,\pi_{2\alpha} - \Lambda^{k\alpha}\,p_{2k}\,\pi_{1\alpha}\right)$. Proof: By using $\partial_k\,(-i\,\Pi_1\,Z) = -i\,p_{1k}$ and $\partial_\alpha\,(-i\,\Pi_1\,Z) = -i\,\pi_{1\alpha}$.
- $[M, N]_* = \frac{1}{2}\,d^{ABC}\,\left[\,M^A,\ N^B\,\right]_*\,T^C + \frac{i}{2}\,f^{ABC}\,\left\{\,M^A,\ N^B\,\right\}_*\,T^C$, where $M = M^A\,T^A$, $N = N^A\,T^A$, $\left[T^A,\ T^B\right] = i\,f^{ABC}\,T^C$, $\left\{T^A,\ T^B\right\} = d^{ABC}\,T^C$.

K Divergent structure

- At the first order of $\Lambda^{k\alpha}$, the following Feynman diagrams are not divergent: $S_1\ {S_2}^n$ $(n \geq 3)$. Proof: $S_1\ S_2\ S_2\ S_2 \to d^4p\ \Lambda p\ \frac{1}{(p^2+m\overline{m})^4}\ \left(\widetilde{D}^2\widetilde{\overline{D}}\right)\ \widetilde{\overline{D}}\ p\ p \sim \frac{p^7}{p^8}$.
- The coefficient at order n is $\frac{1}{n}\ 2^{n-1}$.

L The derivative operators

Definition: $\overline{\partial}_{\dot{\alpha}}\ \overline{\pi}^{\dot{\beta}} = {\delta_{\dot{\alpha}}}^{\dot{\beta}}$.

We have the following identities:

- $\overline{\partial}^{\dot{\alpha}}\ \overline{\pi}_{\dot{\beta}} = -{\delta^{\dot{\alpha}}}_{\dot{\beta}}$. Proof: $\overline{\partial}^{\dot{\alpha}}\ \overline{\pi}_{\dot{\beta}} = C^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\gamma}}\ C_{\dot{\rho}\dot{\beta}}\ \overline{\partial}_{\dot{\gamma}}\ \overline{\pi}^{\dot{\rho}} = C^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\gamma}}\ C_{\dot{\rho}\dot{\beta}}\ {\delta_{\dot{\gamma}}}^{\dot{\rho}} = -{\delta^{\dot{\alpha}}}_{\dot{\beta}}$.
- $\overline{\partial}^{\dot{\alpha}}\left(i\ \overline{\pi}^{\dot{\beta}}\ \overline{\theta}_{\dot{\beta}}\right) = i\ \overline{\theta}^{\dot{\alpha}}$. Proof: $\overline{\partial}^{\dot{\alpha}}\left(i\ \overline{\pi}^{\dot{\beta}}\ \overline{\theta}_{\dot{\beta}}\right) = (-i)\ \overline{\partial}^{\dot{\alpha}}\left(\overline{\pi}_{\dot{\beta}}\ \overline{\theta}^{\dot{\beta}}\right) = (-i)(-1)\ {\delta^{\dot{\alpha}}}_{\dot{\beta}}\ \overline{\theta}^{\dot{\beta}} = i\ \overline{\theta}^{\dot{\alpha}}$.
- $p\Lambda_\alpha\left(X^\beta\ X_\beta\right) = -2\ X^\beta\left(p\Lambda_\alpha\ X_\beta\right) = 2\left(p\Lambda_\alpha\ X^\beta\right)X_\beta$. Proof: $p\Lambda_\alpha\left(X^\beta\ X_\beta\right) = \left(p\Lambda_\alpha\ X^\beta\right)X_\beta - X^\beta\left(p\Lambda_\alpha\ X_\beta\right) = X_\beta\left(p\Lambda_\alpha\ X^\beta\right) - X^\beta\left(p\Lambda_\alpha\ X_\beta\right) = -2\ X^\beta\left(p\Lambda_\alpha\ X_\beta\right) = 2\ \left(p\Lambda_\alpha\ X^\beta\right)X_\beta$.
- $\partial_k\left(X^\beta\ X_\beta\right) = 2\ X^\beta\left(\partial_k\ X_\beta\right)$. Proof: $\partial_k\left(X^\beta\ X_\beta\right) = \left(\partial_k\ X^\beta\right)X_\beta + X^\beta\left(\partial_k\ X_\beta\right) = 2\ \left(\partial_k\ X^\beta\right)X_\beta$.

M Fierz identities

Definition: $\overline{W}^{cc} = \frac{1}{2}\ \overline{W}^{\dot{\gamma}c}\ \overline{W}^c_{\dot{\gamma}}$, $X^2 = \frac{1}{2}\ X^\alpha\ X_\alpha$, $X^\alpha = C^{\alpha\beta}\ X_\beta$, $C_{\alpha\beta} = -C_{\beta\alpha}$, $C^{\alpha\beta} = -C^{\beta\alpha}$, $p^2 = \frac{1}{2}\ p^{\alpha\dot{\beta}}\ p_{\alpha\dot{\beta}}$, $D^2\ \overline{\Gamma}^{\dot{\alpha}A} = \overline{W}^{\dot{\alpha}A}$, $\overline{\Gamma}^{\alpha\dot{\beta}A} = -i\ D^\alpha\ \overline{\Gamma}^{\dot{\beta}A}$.

We have the following identities:

- $\pi_\alpha\ \pi_\beta\ \pi_\gamma = 0$, $\Lambda p^\alpha\ \Lambda p^\beta\ \Lambda p^\gamma = 0$.
- $\overline{W}^c_{\dot{\alpha}}\ \overline{W}^c_{\dot{\beta}} = \frac{1}{2}\ C_{\dot{\beta}\dot{\alpha}}\ \overline{W}^{\dot{\gamma}c}\ \overline{W}^c_{\dot{\gamma}} = C_{\dot{\beta}\dot{\alpha}}\ \overline{W}^{cc}$.
- $X_\alpha\ X_\beta = C_{\beta\alpha}\ X^2$, $X^\alpha\ X^\beta = C^{\beta\alpha}\ X^2$, $X_\alpha\ X^\beta = -{\delta_\alpha}^\beta\ X^2$, $X^\alpha\ X_\beta = {\delta^\alpha}_\beta\ X^2$.
- $X_\alpha = X^\beta\ C_{\beta\alpha}$, $C^{\alpha\beta}\ C_{\beta\gamma} = -{\delta^\alpha}_\gamma$, ${\delta_\alpha}^\alpha = 2$, ${\delta^\alpha}_\alpha = 2$.
- $C^{\alpha\beta}\ p_{\alpha\dot{\gamma}}\ p_{\beta\dot{\rho}} = C_{\dot{\gamma}\dot{\rho}}\ p^2$.
- $X_\alpha\ Y^\alpha = -X^\alpha\ Y_\alpha$.

References

[1] M. R. Douglas and N. A. Nekrasov, "Noncommutative field theory," Rev. Mod. Phys. **73**, 977 (2001) [arXiv:hep-th/0106048].

[2] R. J. Szabo, "Quantum field theory on noncommutative spaces," Phys. Rept. **378**, 207 (2003) [arXiv:hep-th/0109162].

[3] N. Seiberg and E. Witten, "String theory and noncommutative geometry," JHEP **9909**, 032 (1999) [arXiv:hep-th/9908142].

[4] J. de Boer, P. A. Grassi, and P. van Nieuwenhuizen, "Noncommutative superspace from string theory," Phys. Lett. B **574**, 98 (2003) [arXiv:hep-th/0302078].

[5] H. Ooguri and C. Vafa, "The C-deformation of gluino and nonplanar diagrams," Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **7**, 53 (2003) [arXiv:hep-th/0302109].

[6] H. Ooguri and C. Vafa, "Gravity induced C-deformation," Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **7**, 405 (2004) [arXiv:hep-th/0303063].

[7] N. Berkovits and N. Seiberg, "Superstrings in graviphoton background and N=1/2 + 3/2 supersymmetry," JHEP **0307**, 010 (2003) [arXiv:hep-th/0306226].

[8] N. Seiberg, "Noncommutative superspace, N = 1/2 supersymmetry, field theory and string theory," JHEP **0306**, 010 (2003) [arXiv:hep-th/0305248].

[9] R. Britto, B. Feng and S. J. Rey, "Deformed superspace, N = 1/2 supersymmetry and nonrenormalization theorems," JHEP **0307**, 067 (2003) [arXiv:hep-th/0306215].

[10] M. T. Grisaru, S. Penati, and A. Romagnoni, "Two loop renormalization for nonanti-commutative N = 1/2 supersymmetric WZ model," JHEP **0308**, 003 (2003) [arXiv:hep-th/0307099].

[11] R. Britto and B. Feng, "N=1/2 Wess-Zumino model is renormalizable," Phys. Rev. Lett. **91**, 201601 (2003) [arXiv:hep-th/0307165].

[12] A. Romagnoni, "Renormalizability of N=1/2 Wess-Zumino model in superspace," JHEP **0310**, 016 (2003) [arXiv:hep-th/0307209].

[13] M. T. Grisaru, S. Penati and A. Romagnoni, "Non(anti)commutative sym theory: Renormalization in superspace," JHEP **0602**, 043 (2006) [hep-th/0510175].

[14] Y. G. Miao and X. D. Wang, "One-loop renormalizable Wess-Zumino model on bosonic-fermionic noncommutative superspace," Phys. Rev. D **90**, 045036 (2014) [arXiv:1403.4705 [hep-th]].

[15] Y. G. Miao and X. D. Wang, "All-Loop Renormalizable Wess-Zumino Model on Bosonic-Fermionic Noncommutative Superspace," Phys. Rev. D **91**, no. 2, 025016 (2015) [arXiv:1403.5046 [hep-th]].

[16] S. J. Gates, M. T. Grisaru, M. Rocek, and W. Siegel, "Superspace or one thousand and one lessons in supersymmetry," Front. Phys. **58**, 1 (1983) [arXiv:hep-th/0108200].

[17] S. Penati and A. Romagnoni, "Covariant quantization of N = 1/2 SYM theories and supergauge invariance," JHEP **0502**, 064 (2005) [hep-th/0412041].